Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 31 Filed: 01/31/22 Page 1 of 3 PageID #:107

United States District Court Northern District of Illinois MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAR PRO HAC VICE

Case Title:			Plantiff(s)			
	A HENRY, et al.		1 1411111(5)			
	VS.					
BF	ROWN UNIVERSITY, et al.		Defendant(s)			
Case Number: 1:	Case Number: 1:22-cv-00125 Judge: Hon. Matthew F. Kennelly					
I, Stephen M. Medlock			hereby apply to the Court			
under Local Rule 83.14 for permission to appear and participate in the above-entitled action on behalf of						
Georgetown University by whom I have been retained.						
I am a member in goo	od standing and eligible to practice before the following courts:		_			
	Title of Court		Date Admitted			
See Addendum						
I have currently, or within the year preceding the date of this application, made pro hac vice applications to this Court in the following actions:						
C N 1	C T'd	Date of Application				
Case Number	Case Title	(Granted or Denied)*				
1:16-cv-08637	In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litigation	11/08/2016				
1:19-cv-08318	Olean Wholesale Grocery Cooperative et al v. Agri Stats, Inc. et al	01/10/2020				
1:19-cv-06734	In re Delta Dental Antitrust Litigation	09/15/2020				
*If denied, please explain: (Attach additional form if necessary)						
Pursuant to Local Rule 83.15(a), applicants who do not have an office within the Northern District of Illinois must designate, at the time of filing their initial notice or pleading, a member of the bar of this Court having an office within this District upon who service of papers may be made.						
	Has the applicant designated local counsel? Yes	No	X			

If you have not designated local counsel, Local Rule 83.15(b) provides that the designation must be made within thirty (30) days.

Has the applicant ever bee	22-cv-00125 Docum	ent #: 31 F	Filed: 01/31/2	2 Page 2 of 3	PageID) #: 10 8	
sanctioned, censured, suspended, disbarred, or otherwise disciplinary court?			plined by	Yes	No	X	
or is the applicant currently the subject of an investigation of the applicant's professional conduct?			he	Yes	No	X	
transferred to inactive status, voluntarily withdrawn, or resigned bar of any court?			ed from the	Yes	No	X	
denied admission to the bar of any court?				Yes	No	X	
held in contempt of court?				Yes	No	X	
NOTE: If the answer to <i>any</i> of the above questions is yes, please attach a brief description of the incident(s) and the applicant's current status before any court, or any agency thereof, where disciplinary sanctions were imposed, or where an investigation or investigations of the applicant's conduct may have been instituted.							
I have read the Rules of Professional Conduct for the Northern District of Illinois and the Standards for Professional Conduct within the Seventh Federal Judicial Circuit, and will faithfully adhere to them. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.							
January 31, 2022 S/ Stephen M. Medlock							
Date]	Electronic Signat	ure of Applicant		-	
Applicant's Name	Last Name Medlock		First Name Stephe	n		Middle Name/Initial	
Applicant's Law Firm	Mayer Brown LLP						
Applicant's Address	Street Address 1999 K St NW				Room/Suite Number		
	City	State	ZIP Code	Work Phone Number			

(The pro hac vice admission fee is \$150.00 and shall be paid to the Clerk. No admission under Rule 83.14 is effective until such time as the fee has been paid.)

Email Address

Washington D.C. 20006

NOTE: Attorneys seeking to appear pro hac vice may wish to consider filing a petition for admission to the general bar of this Court. The fee for admission to the General Bar is \$181.00 The fee for pro hac vice admission is \$150.00. Admission to the general bar permits an attorney to practice before this Court. Pro hac vice admission entitles an attorney to appear in a particular case only. Application for such admission must be made in each case; and the admission fee must be paid in each case.

Addendum

Title of Court	Date Admitted		
District of Columbia Court of Appeals	7/9/2010		
Supreme Court of Virginia	10/16/2009		
US Supreme Court	3/26/2019		
USCA Eighth Circuit	2/7/2017		
USCA Eleventh Circuit	4/25/2018		
USCA Ninth Circuit	12/11/2019		
USCA Third Circuit	6/6/2014		
USDC District of Columbia	5/4/2015		